

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHN DOE #1 AND JOHN DOE #2,

CASE No 3:21-cv-00485-JCS

Plaintiff(s)

v.

ADR CERTIFICATION BY
PARTIES AND COUNSEL

TWITTER, INC.,

Defendant(s)

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has:

- 1) Read the handbook entitled “Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures Handbook” (available at cand.uscourts.gov/adr).
- 2) Discussed with each other the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private entities; and
- 3) Considered whether this case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution options.

Date:

John Doe #2

ID kcCk1rsyXTnuENjpyoUcbN2o

Party (Plaintiff John Doe #2)

Date: July 16, 2021

/s/ Paul A. Matiasic

Attorney

Counsel further certifies that he or she has discussed the selection of an ADR process with counsel for the other parties to the case. Based on that discussion, the parties:

- ☐ intend to stipulate to an ADR process
- ☒ prefer to discuss ADR selection with the Assigned Judge at the case management conference

Date: July 16, 2021

/s/ Paul A. Matiasic

Attorney

Important! E-file this form in ECF using event name: “ADR Certification (ADR LR 3-5 b) of Discussion of ADR Options.”